

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/920,902 08/03/2001 Amine Abina 065691-0246 9796 EXAMINER 22428 7590 10/24/2003 **FOLEY AND LARDNER** WEHBE, ANNE MARIE SABRINA SUITE 500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 1632

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,902	ABINA, AMINE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne Marie S. Wehbe	1632	
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a nation places the application in	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extensio originally set in the final Office action; or	n
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancellingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): see attached sheets.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-11,13-16,19,27,29,30,43-47 an</u>	<u>đ 72-88</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	/ / /		

Application/Control Number: 09/920,902 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

ATTACHEMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

3. cont. Applicant's cancellation of claims 2-3, 17-18, 20-26, 28, 31-42, and 48-71 have resulted in the withdrawal of all rejections/objections over these claims. The rejection of claims 1, 4-11, 27, 29-30, and 43-47 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments to the claims.

5. cont. Applicant's amendments and arguments do not overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, of record over claims 1, 3-16, 19, 27, 29-30, 43-47, and 72-88. The applicant argues that the claims should be interpreted verbatim and that issues regarding therapeutic expression of the heterologous protein and gene therapy are not relevant to the claimed invention since the claims do not specifically recite these issues. In determining enablement under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, however, the MPEP states that during patent examination, the pending claims must be "given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." In re Hyatt, 211 F.3d 1367, 1372, 54 USPQ2d 1664, 1667 (Fed. Cir. 2000). MPEP 2111. Case law further states that "Claims are not to be read in a vacuum, and limitations therein are to be interpreted in light of the specification in giving them their broadest reasonable interpretation'." In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 799 at 802, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983), (quoting In re Okuzawa, 537 F.2d 545, 548, 190 USPQ 464, 466 (CCPA 1976)) (emphasis in original). Furthermore, contrary to applicant's assertion that none of the claims as amended recite a method of therapy, claims 29-30 continues to recite, "A method for therapy of an mammal affected by a disease...", where the disease recited include auto-immune diseases, inflammatory diseases, cancer, viral infections, bacterial infections, parasitic infections, and fungal infections. In addition, the specification on pages 1-4 very clearly states that the instant methods are intended to provide a solution to the problem of a lack of persistent and sustained expression of transgenes in gene therapy and animal transgenesis. While applicant's amendments have narrowed the scope of the claims to wherein the "agent" is an adenovirus, the claims are still broad and the specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure for the full scope of the invention as claimed for reasons discussed in detail in previous office actions. Thus, for reasons of record, the rejection stands.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,902

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie S. Wehbé, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-9156. The examiner can be reached Monday-Friday from 10:30-7:00. If the examiner is not available, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (703) 305-4051. General inquiries should be directed to the group receptionist whose phone number is (703) 308-0196. The technology center fax number is (703) 872-9306. Please note that Official papers can no longer be received by the examiner's direct Rightfax number.

Page 3

Dr. A.M.S. Wehbé

ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER